
9. Animal bones from Aramus, Armenia, excavation 2004

*Hans Christian Küchelmann, Nina Manaseryan
and Lilit Mirzoyan*

In this site report a small assemblage from the initial excavation campaign at the 1st century BC Urartian fortress of Aramus near Yerevan in Armenia is presented. The material consists mainly of domestic livestock (sheep/goat, cattle and pig) plus minor numbers of dog, horse, donkey, fox, hare, rodents, birds, amphibians and fish. Three settlement periods could be discriminated archaeologically, which differ in their species frequencies and other criteria. An interesting feature is the occurrence of several songbird bones in period I. Skeletal element distributions, age and sex profiles, osteometrical and taphnomic data are provided by period.

Keywords Iron Age, Urartu, livestock, songbirds

Introduction

After a preliminary survey in autumn 2003 the site of Aramus, county Kotayk, Armenia (Fig. 9.1) was chosen for an archaeological joint venture project between the University of Innsbruck, Austria and the Yerevan State University, Armenia.¹ The project was planned for a 5-year period. The first campaign was carried out in September 2004, subsequent campaigns in 2005–2008. This is a preliminary report covering the material found in 2004.² The complete report covering the whole material of 2004–2008 will be included in the excavation monograph to be published by the University of Innsbruck.

The excavation site is a prehistoric fortress situated on a hilltop 1500 m above sea level, ca. 20 km northeast of Yerevan (Fig. 9.1) and a few hundred metres southwest of the present village of Aramus. The architecture of the fortress, pottery and metal artefacts found within the site assign the settlement to the period of the kingdom of Urartu (8th–7th century BC). A cuneiform inscription found in 1960 nearby points to a possible connection between the site and the city of Darani conquered by the Urartian king Argishti I in the 8th century BC (Avetisyan 2001, 44–46; Bauer-Mannndorf 1984, 119). One major aim of the current project is to shed light on the development and decline of

the kingdom of Urartu in relation to the preceding and subsequent social and political structures in the region.

In the planning of the Aramus project environmental archaeology was seen as an important part of the research. The authors took part in the first campaign to assess the potential of specific environmental archaeological methods to produce evidence at the Aramus site. During excavation it became soon obvious that the preservation conditions for organic material in the fine clay soil were excellent. Due to limited resources of time and manpower, efforts in environmental archaeology concentrated on human remains, plant macrofossils and animal bones. This paper will reveal the information retrieved from the animal bones.

Previous archaeological work at the site of Aramus was undertaken by Vanzandyan in 1966 and by Avetisyan in 1988 (Khanzadian 1979, 11; Avetisyan 2001, 37). While no archaeozoological data are available from the first excavation, Avetisyan reports ‘fragments of large and small horned animals’ from the rooms 1 and 3–7 in the 1988 excavated area. The find of the ‘whole skull of a bull’ in room 5 is emphasised (Avetisyan 2001, 39–43). Provided the analyst was familiar with the zoological terminology, this information can be considered as the




Fig. 9.1. Maps of site location.

presence of species of the family Bovidae (cattle, sheep, goat, gazelle). In room 6 ‘a great number of charred animal bones’ was recovered. Additionally one ‘button from bone’ is mentioned from room 7 (Avetisyan 2001, 42–43, 50).

Material and methods

During the 2004 excavation campaign 2002 bone fragments were recovered. On site the finds were carefully cleaned with cold water and brushes, then dried, bagged and labelled stratigraphically separated. Matching fragments were glued together with white carpenter glue (Uhu Holz-Expressleim, Bindan-P Propellerleim). This reduced the number of bones to a total of 1860. Each bone was labelled with black ink with a code consisting of site-shortcut (AR), year (04), stratigraphic unit and bone number (e.g. AR04/d021/654). The bulk of the material (n=1645) was sampled by hand collection. Great accuracy can be attested to the students and volunteers, since even bones of very small species were found, which is unusual for hand-collected assemblages. An additional amount of 215 very small fragments were extracted from two wet sieved samples from stratigraphic unit d 011 (total volume 20 litres).

The bones were identified by the authors using the reference collections of the Institute of Zoology, Armenian National Academy of Sciences (ANAS),

Yerevan, Armenia, the Institute of Anatomy, University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, Austria and the Archäologisch-Zoologische Arbeitsgruppe Schleswig (AZA), Zentrum für Baltische und Skandinavische Archäologie (ZBSA), Archäologisches Landesmuseum Schleswig-Holstein, Schleswig, Germany with support of Gerhard Forstenpointner and Alfred Galik. Rodents were additionally checked against specimens from the skeletal collection of the Natural History Museum Vienna (NMW).³ Fish bones were determined by Alfred Galik. In addition to reference material, identification criteria were employed from the publications of Boessneck *et al.* (1964), Brohmer (1984), Cohen and Serjeantson (1996), Engelmann *et al.* (1986, 124–134), Prummel and Frisch (1986), Schmid (1972) and Spitzenberger (2001). Each specimen was monitored for a set of archaeozoological data (skeletal element, body side, species, age, sex, pathology, anatomic measurements) and taphonomic features (tool marks, traces of fire, animal gnawing, weathering, trampling, root etching). Measurements were taken with callipers according to von den Driesch (1976; 1999). Data about geographical distribution of species was obtained from Adamian and Klem (1997), Dal (1954), Engelmann *et al.* (1985), Gepner and Naumov (1972), Heinzel *et al.* (1972) and Oganessyan (pers. comm. 7–10/2005). Applied geographic distribution data relies on the assumption that species distribution has not changed substantially since the time of the site inhabitation. Domestic species were named after the recent ruling of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN) (Gentry *et al.* 2004). The analysed material is stored at the Institute of Zoology, ANAS, Yerevan, under the supervision of Nina Manaseryan, except for two human bones (nos 122, 435) belonging to the skeleton from grave 1, which is stored at the History Museum of Yerevan⁴ under the supervision of Armine Sargsian.

The bone finds were excavated from two different areas within the site, from the eastern part of the acropolis (area A, n=1585, Fig. 9.2) and the western part of the acropolis

(area B, n=275). Area A is a rectangular shaped ditch measuring $41.5 \times 5.3\text{m}$, area B traced the outline of the rooms 5 and 6 of the 1988 excavation.

The volumes of soil of each period moved were different, causing a bias in comparability. Chronological and cultural data from specific layers was not available at the time of writing. Instead a relative chronology according to the stratigraphy of the site was used, which resulted in a grouping of the finds from area A into three distinguishable periods (Table 9.1). Period I can be regarded as topsoil. It contained multi-period remains and could not be related to building structures in 2004. Layers d 011, d 012 and d 021 in period II are cultural layers covered by rubble. Period III comprises mainly building and rubble layers. The bones from area B were not stratigraphically separated during excavation and thus cannot be attributed to a specific cultural or time period.

Table 9.1. Chronological grouping of animal bone finds from Aramus, Armenia, excavation 2004, area A.

Period	Phase	Stratigraphic units	Vol. soil ¹ (m ³)	No. bones
surface layer		d 001		110
I	a-b	s 002, d 002, d 003, d 005, d 007, d 022, grave 1	10	525
II	c	s 006, d 011, d 012, d 018, d 021	21	740
III	e-f	d 009, d 013, d 014, d 019, d 023	6	148

not yet assigned: d 004 (2 bones), d 016 (51 bones) and Locus DOST IV (9 bones)

1 = approximate values

Results

Before presenting the archaeozoological data, it has to be noted that six human bones were found mixed within the animal remains. These include a processus coroneus of a left mandibula (grave1,435), a 1st toe joint of a 2nd toe (phalanx 1 • II posterior, s002/122), a fragment of a left radius (d003/404), a left 5th metatarsus (d012/528), a left 3rd metacarpus (d016/857) and a pelvis fragment (d021/709). The processus coroneus matches with the mandibula of the female skeleton from grave 1. The toe joints of the skeleton are missing and since bone no. 122 was found in the vicinity of the grave and its age status fits to that of the skeleton, this phalanx derives almost certainly from the same individual. This does not however hold true for the remaining four human bones. Metatarsus no. 528 belongs to a juvenile individual less than 19 years old. Being younger than the buried woman, it cannot be attributed to grave 1. Bones no. 404, 709 and 857 cannot belong to the skeleton either, as they occur twice (Gantner pers. comm. 12-2004–1-2005). This proves that at least two individuals are extant. If the contextual information is taken into account, revealing that fragment 528 and 709 are from period II, while fragment 404 is from period I, the minimum number of human individuals evident is three.

Turning to the animal bones, an overview shows that all vertebrate classes (fish, amphibians, birds, mammals) are represented except reptiles. In total 867 bones (46.7%) could be identified at least to order level (=NISP). Table 9.2 presents the species representation of the whole assemblage and the distribution over the chronological periods; Tables




Fig. 9.2. Site map of Aramus, excavation 2004; area A marked.

Table 9.2. Species representation of animal bones from Aramus, Armenia, excavation 2004, area A & B.

Species		No. bones					area B room 5 + 6	Total
		surface layer d 001	period I	period II	period III	not assigned features ¹		
<i>domestic mammals</i>	<i>Mammalia</i>							
cattle	<i>Bos taurus</i>	8	53	79	19	8	17	184
domestic sheep	<i>Ovis aries</i>	7	22	6	4	–	1	40
domestic goat	<i>Capra hircus</i>	–	4	5	4	–	1	14
sheep / goat	<i>Ovis / Capra</i>	25	76	55	27	7	37	227
domestic pig	<i>Sus domesticus</i>	11	50	26	3	2	7	99
domestic horse	<i>Equus caballus</i>	–	–	3	1	–	–	4
donkey	<i>Equus asinus</i>	–	–	1	–	–	–	1
dog	<i>Canis familiaris</i>	–	1	1	2	2	2	8
total domestic mammals		51	206	176	60	19	65	577
<i>wild mammals</i>	<i>Mammalia</i>							
red fox	<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>	–	2	–	–	–	3	5
hare	<i>Lepus europaeus</i>	–	1	–	1	–	6	8
family rats and mice	Muridae	–	–	–	1	–	–	1
Persian jird, gerbil	<i>Meriones persicus</i>	–	4	169	–	–	–	173
golden hamster	<i>Cricetus auratus</i>	–	1	2	3	–	–	6
jerboa	<i>Allactaga williamsii</i>	–	4	–	–	–	–	4
common vole	<i>Microtus arvalis</i>	–	2	–	–	–	–	2
order rodents	Rodentia indet.	–	4	32	–	–	19	55
total wild mammals		–	18	203	5	–	28	254
<i>man</i>	<i>Homo sapiens</i>	–	3	2	–	1	–	6
total identified mammals	Mammalia	51	227	381	65	20	93	837
unidentified mammals	Mammalia indet.	57	280	151	79	41	179	787
total mammals	total Mammalia	108	507	532	144	61	272	1624
<i>birds</i>	<i>Aves</i>							
domestic cock	<i>Gallus gallus</i>	–	1	–	–	–	–	1
family pheasants and fowl	Phasianidae	1	5	–	–	–	–	6
gadwall	<i>Anas strepera</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
carrion crow	<i>Corvus corone</i>	–	–	–	2	–	–	2
black-billed magpie	<i>Pica pica</i>	–	1	–	–	–	–	1
common starling	<i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>	–	7	–	–	1	1	9
house sparrow	<i>Passer domesticus</i>	–	–	–	–	–	1	1
order singing birds	Passeriformes	–	3	–	–	–	–	3
unidentified birds	Aves indet.	1	1	–	1	–	–	3
total birds	total Aves	2	18	–	3	1	3	27
<i>amphibians</i>	<i>Amphibia</i>							
genus toads	<i>Bufo</i> sp.	–	–	–	1	–	–	1
true frog	<i>Rana macrocnemis camerani</i>	–	–	1	–	–	–	1
total amphibians	total Amphibia	–	–	1	1	–	–	2
<i>fish</i>	<i>Pisces</i>							
family salmons and trouts	Salmonidae	–	–	1	–	–	–	1
family minnows	Cyprinidae	–	–	3	–	–	–	3
family perches	Percidae	–	–	2	–	–	–	2
unidentified fish	Pisces indet.	–	–	19	–	–	–	19
total fish	total Pisces	–	–	25	–	–	–	25
unidentified vertebrates	Vertebrata indet.	–	–	182	–	–	–	182
NISP		52	244	387	67	21	96	867
total bones		110	525	740	148	62	275	1860

9.3–9.5 show the details for the sub-samples of periods I–III. Not unexpected for a fortified settlement comes the result that domestic mammals comprise the overwhelming part of the bone assemblage.

Wild mammals are on second rank, but like all other vertebrates, are represented only by singular bones. The number of bones of each period ranging from 740 to 148 is too low for a reasonable comparative statistical

Table 9.3. Species representation of animal bones from Aramus, Armenia, excavation 2004, area A, Period I, phase a–b, stratigraphic units s 002, d 002, d 003, d 005, d 007, grave 1.

Species		No. bones	Relative amount		Min. no. individuals ² (MNI)	Bone weight g	Relative weight	
			of no. bones%	NISP ¹ %			of no. bones %	NISP ¹ %
<i>domestic mammals</i>	<i>Mammalia</i>							
cattle	<i>Bos taurus</i>	53	10.1	21.7	2	1 122.5	42.7	57.5
domestic sheep	<i>Ovis aries</i>	22	4.2	9.0	2	142.0	5.4	7.3
domestic goat	<i>Capra hircus</i>	4	0.8	1.6	1	40.5	1.5	2.1
sheep / goat	<i>Ovis / Capra</i>	76	14.5	31.1	2	318.0	12.1	16.3
domestic pig	<i>Sus domesticus</i>	50	9.5	20.5	3	292.5	11.1	15.0
dog	<i>Canis familiaris</i>	1	0.2	0.4	1	0.5	0.02	0.03
total domestic mammals		206	39.2	84.4	11	1916.0	72.9	98.2
<i>wild mammals</i>	<i>Mammalia</i>							
red fox	<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>	2	0.4	0.8	1	1.5	0.06	0.08
hare	<i>Lepus europaeus</i>	1	0.2	0.4	1	1.5	0.06	0.08
Persian jird, gerbil	<i>Meriones persicus</i>	4	0.8	1.6	2			
golden hamster	<i>Cricetus auratus</i>	1	0.2	0.4	1	2.5	0.1	0.1
jerboa	<i>Allactaga williamsii</i>	4	0.8	1.6	1			
common vole	<i>Microtus arvalis</i>	2	0.4	0.8	1			
order rodents	Rodentia indet.	4	0.8	1.6	–	1.0	0.04	0.05
total wild mammals		18	3.4	7.4	7	6.5	0.2	0.3
<i>man</i>	<i>Homo sapiens</i>	3	0.6	1.2	2	14.5	0.6	0.7
total identified mammals	Mammalia	227	43.2	93.0	21	1937.0	73.7	99.3
unidentified mammals	Mammalia indet.	280	53.3	–	–	678.5	25.8	–
total mammals	total Mammalia	507	96.6	93.0	21	2615.5	99.5	99.3
<i>birds</i>	<i>Aves</i>							
domestic cock	<i>Gallus gallus</i>	1	0.2	0.4	1	7.0	0.3	0.4
family pheasants & fowl	Phasianidae	5	1.0	2.0	1	5.5	0.2	0.3
black-billed magpie	<i>Pica pica</i>	1	0.2	0.4	1	0.5		
common starling	<i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>	7	1.3	2.9	1	0.5	0.02	0.03
singing birds	Passeriformes.	3	0.6	1.2	–	0.3	0.01	0.02
unidentified birds	Aves indet.	1	0.2	–	–	0.2	0.01	–
total birds	total Aves	18	3.4	7.0	4	14.0	0.5	0.7
NISP ¹		244	46.5	100.0	25	1950.8	74.2	100.0
total bones		525	100.0		25	2629.5	100.0	

1: NISP = number of identified specimen = total bones exclusive Mammalia indet., Aves indet.

2: MNI greater than 1 are evident by the following skeletal elements: 2 individuals of *Bos* by 2 left metacarpi (proximal end); 2 individuals of *Ovis* by 2 left ulnae (proximal end); 2 individuals of *Ovis/Capra* by 2 right mandibulae (facies articularis), 2 right metacarpi (proximal end), 2 left femora (distal end) and 2 right metatarsi (proximal end); 3 individuals of *Sus* by 3 left humeri (proximal end); 2 individuals of *Meriones* by 2 left pelvises.

analysis. Therefore it has to be kept in mind that all results concerning species, skeletal element or age distribution are highly susceptible to random bias and have to be valued as tendencies only.

Anatomical measurements could be taken from 136 bones, but only 72 were completely preserved, allowing the whole set of measurements to be taken (Table 9.6). Of the measurable bones, 26 are from species for which not enough comparative data were available to draw further conclusions (bones of 6 hares, 18 birds, 2 rodents). The majority of the remaining domestic mammal bones (n=104) are compact bones of the foot-joints (autopodium, n=73) or vertebrae (n=7), for which no analytical data exist about a direct relation between bone and body size. Consequently the database for a metrical analysis is very small and does not allow a general picture about size, stature or other

phenotypical data of the domestic animals bred. Only three bones provided criteria for morphological sexing.

Period I

Species and skeletal element representation

Out of a total of 525 bones from period I approximately half (n=244; 46.5%) could be identified to order level or higher (Table 9.3).

Period I includes the highest amount of domestic mammals (n=206) with sheep and goat (*Ovis/Capra*) ranking first (n=104), followed by cattle (*Bos taurus*, n=53) and pig (*Sus domesticus*, n=50) in equal parts. Judged by the bone weight, cattle is the most important species followed by sheep, goat and pig. In layer d 002

Table 9.4. Species representation of animal bones from Aramus, Armenia, excavation 2004, area A, Period II, phase c, stratigraphic units s 006, d 011, d 012, d 018, d 021.

Species		No. bones	Relative amount of no. bones %	Relative amount of NISP ¹ %	Min. no. individual s ² (MNI)	Bone weight g	Relative weight of no. bones %	Relative weight of NISP ¹ %
<i>domestic mammals</i>	<i>Mammalia</i>							
Cattle	<i>Bos Taurus</i>	79	10.7	20.4	2	1737.5	68.1	71.0
domestic sheep	<i>Ovis aries</i>	6	0.8	1.6	1	25.0	1.0	1.0
domestic goat	<i>Capra hircus</i>	5	0.7	1.3	1	35.0	1.4	1.4
sheep / goat	<i>Ovis / Capra</i>	55	7.4	14.2	3	345.5	13.5	14.1
domestic pig	<i>Sus domesticus</i>	26	3.5	6.7	2	244.5	9.6	10.0
domestic horse	<i>Equus caballus</i>	3	0.4	0.8	1	36.5	1.4	1.5
Donkey	<i>Equus asinus</i>	1	0.1	0.3	1	1.5	0.1	0.1
Dog	<i>Canis familiaris</i>	1	0.1	0.3	1	6.5	0.3	0.3
total domestic mammals		176	23.8	45.5	12	2431.5	95.4	99.3
<i>wild mammals</i>	<i>Mammalia</i>							
Persian jird, gerbil	<i>Meriones persicus</i>	169	22.8	43.7	3	7.0	0.3	0.3
golden hamster	<i>Cricetus auratus</i>	2	0.3	0.5	1	0.0 ³	–	–
order rodents	Rodentia indet.	32	4.3	8.3	–	1.0	0.04	0.04
total wild mammals		203	27.4	52.5	4	8.0	0.3	0.3
<i>Man</i>	<i>Homo sapiens</i>	2	0.3	0.5	1	8.0	0.3	0.3
total identified mammals	Mammalia	381	51.5	98.4	17	2447.5	96.0	100.0
unidentified mammals	Mammalia indet.	151	20.4	–	–	96.0	3.8	–
total mammals	total Mammalia	532	71.9	98.4	17	2543.5	99.7	100.0
<i>Amphibians</i>	<i>Amphibia</i>							
true frog	<i>Rana macrocnemis camerani</i>	1	0.1	–	1	0.0 ³	–	–
total amphibians	total Amphibia	1	0.1	–	1	0.0 ³	–	–
<i>Fish</i>	<i>Pisces</i>							
family salmons and trouts	Salmonidae	1	0.1	0.3	1	0.0 ³	–	–
family minnows	Cyprinidae	3	0.4	0.8	1	0.0 ³	–	–
family perches	Percidae	2	0.3	0.5	1	0.0 ³	–	–
unidentified fish	Pisces indet.	19	2.6	–	–	0.0 ³	–	–
total fish	total Pisces	25	3.4	1.3	3	0.0 ³	–	–
unidentified vertebrates ⁴	Vertebrata indet.	182	24.6	–	–	6.5	0.3	–
NISP ¹		387	52.3	100.0	21	2447.5	96.0	100.0
total bones		740	100.0		21	2550.0	100.0	

1: NISP = number of identified specimen = total bones exclusive Mammalia indet., Pisces indet., Vertebrata indet.

2: MNI greater than 1 are evident by the following skeletal elements: 2 individuals of *Bos* by 2 right humeri (distal end); 3 individuals of *Ovis/Capra* by 3 left 3rd mandibular molars (M 3) and 3 left humeri (distal end); 2 individuals of *Sus* by 2 left 4th mandibular milk premolars (Pd 4 mandibular); 3 individuals of *Meriones persicus* by 3 crania (incisivum+nasale), 3 right tibiae and 3 left calcanei.

3: less than 0.5 g = below scale limit.

4: very small bone fragments from wet sieved samples 1+2; mainly mammals.

one toe joint (phalanx 1) of a dog (*Canis familiaris*) was found. Of the 18 wild mammal bones 15 were of rodents, none of which can be attributed with certainty to period I as the animals may be intrusive. Four of the rodent bones belong to gerbils (sub-family Gerbillinae), most probably to the species Persian jird (*Meriones persicus*, Fig. 9.3).⁵

Four hind limb elements belong to jerboas (family Dipodidae). Ecologic habitat needs and geographic distribution restricts these to the species *Allactaga williamsii* (Dal 1954, 162–163; Oganessyan, pers. comm. 7-10-2005). A cranium and a mandibula belong to a vole (genus *Microtus*). Since all other species of the genus *Microtus* can be ruled out for morphologic or geographic distribution reasons this belongs almost certainly to the common vole (*Microtus arvalis*) (Dal 1954, 169–172; Oganessyan, pers. comm. 7-2005; 10-2005). Another skull

is from a golden hamster (*Cricetus auratus*). The remaining three wild mammal bones include a cranium fragment (frontale) and a tooth of a red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) and an ulna of a hare (*Lepus europaeus*). As several obviously inhabited fox dens could be observed at the site, it cannot be excluded with certainty that these fox bones are modern intrusions too. The hare bone showed carnivore bite marks and therefore it is possible that it was part of a modern fox meal instead of an Urartian dish. Assumed that the fox and hare bones are contemporary with the archaeological layer, this would result in a domestic to wild mammal ratio of 69:1 (excluding rodents).

A comparatively high number of bird bones (n=18) was retrieved from period I layers. Six of these are from members of the family Phasianidae (pheasants and fowl). One pelvis fragment (grave1/448) belongs to a domestic




Fig. 9.3. Persian jird (*Meriones persicus*). a) skull (d012/69) from Aramus (Photo: Scheffka); b) habitus (Photo: Rudloff, from Grimmberger et al. 2009, 169).

Table 9.5. Species representation of animal bones from Aramus, Armenia, excavation 2004, area A, Period III, phase e–f, stratigraphic units d 009, d 013, d 011, d 019, d 023.

Species		No. bones	Relative amount of no. of bones % of NISP ¹ %		Min. no. individuals ² (MNI)	Bone weight g	Relative weight of no. of bones % of NISP ¹ %	
<i>domestic mammals</i>	<i>Mammalia</i>							
cattle	<i>Bos taurus</i>	19	12.8	28.4	1	252.0	52.4	54.3
domestic sheep	<i>Ovis aries</i>	4	2.7	5.8	1	24.0	5.0	5.2
domestic goat	<i>Capra hircus</i>	4	2.7	5.8	1	9.0	1.9	1.9
sheep / goat	<i>Ovis / Capra</i>	27	18.2	40.3	2	104.5	21.7	22.5
domestic pig	<i>Sus domesticus</i>	3	2.0	4.5	1	52.5	10.9	11.3
domestic horse	<i>Equus caballus</i>	1	0.7	1.5	1	15.5	3.2	3.3
dog	<i>Canis familiaris</i>	2	1.4	3.0	1	3.0	0.6	0.6
total domestic mammals		60	40.5	89.6	8	460.5	95.7	99.2
<i>wild mammals</i>	<i>Mammalia</i>							
hare	<i>Lepus europaeus</i>	1	0.7	1.5	1	1.0	0.2	0.2
golden hamster	<i>Cricetus auratus</i>	3	2.0	4.5	1	1.5	0.3	0.3
family rats and mice	Muridae indet.	1	0.7	1.5	1			
total wild mammals		5	3.4	7.5	3	2.5	0.5	0.5
total identified mammals	<i>Mammalia</i>	65	43.9	97.0	11	463.0	96.3	99.8
unidentified mammals	<i>Mammalia indet.</i>	79	53.3	–	–	16.0	3.3	–
total mammals	total <i>Mammalia</i>	144	97.3	97.0	11	479.0	99.6	99.8
<i>birds</i>	<i>Aves</i>							
carriion crow	<i>Corvus corone</i>	2	1.4	1.5	1	1.5	0.3	0.2
unidentified bird	<i>Aves indet.</i>	1	0.7	–	–	0.5	0.1	–
total birds	total <i>Aves</i>	2	1.4	1.5	1	2.0	0.4	0.2
<i>amphibians</i>	<i>Amphibia</i>							
toad	<i>Bufo</i> sp.	1	0.7	1.5	1	0.0 ³	–	–
total amphibians	total <i>Amphibia</i>	1	0.7	1.5	1	0.0 ³	–	–
NISP ¹		67	45.3	100.0	13	464.0	96.5	100.0
total bones		148	100.0		13	481.0	100.0	

1: NISP = number of identified specimen = total bones exclusive *Mammalia indet.*, *Aves indet.*

2: MNI greater than 1 are evident by the following skeletal elements: 2 individuals of *Ovis/Capra* by 2 right mandibulae (ramus) and 2 right pelvis (acetabulum).

3: less than 0.5g = below scale limit.

cock (*Gallus gallus*), three others (d002/377/ 381/384) are either Caucasian black grouse (*Tetrao mlokosiewiczi*) or domestic cock. The remaining birds (n=10) belong to the order singing birds (Passeriformes). Seven of them could be identified as common starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*,

Fig. 9.4). The fact that nearly all singing bird bones were from period I layers evokes the question, if they did have a special significance in that phase? Have they been selectively harvested or is this just a random bias? Another possible scenario may arise out of the fact that starlings



Fig. 9.4. Common starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*): habitus (from Adamian & Klem 1997, 137).

are nesting in cavities of cliffs or buildings (Adamian & Klem 1997, 136; Aghababayan, pers. comm. 10-2005). They might have used the clay brick walls of the fortress (ruins) for accommodation.

A view on the skeletal element distribution of cattle (Table 9.7), sheep/goat (Table 9.8) and pig shows that all body parts (skull, spinal column, fore limb, hind limb, feet⁶) are present. In cattle and pig an overrepresentation of the feet is visible, while in sheep/goat all body parts are equally distributed. This is expressed also in the low minimum number of individuals (MNI) allowing only evidence of three pigs, two cattle and five sheep/goat.

A selective view at the finds from grave 1 does not reveal any extraordinary features. Apart from the human mandibula fragment, the 15 animal bones comprise a broad spectrum of taxa (cattle, sheep/goat, pig, cock, vole) not significantly distinct from the overall pattern.

AGE, SIZE AND SEX DATA

Sixteen cattle, 25 pig and 43 sheep/goat bones allowed age estimation by tooth eruption or epiphyseal fusion stages⁷ (Fig. 9.5 and Table 9.9). The assembled data show that most cattle were slaughtered between 1 and 3 years. Sheep and goat were spread over the age classes showing no peak in a certain age, but no specimen over 5 years was found. Only one bone of a pig older than 4 years was present, while most of the pigs did not survive the age of 2 years. The already mentioned fox cranium and one of the jird bones belonged to juvenile individuals. Two of the four Phasianidae bones were from juveniles, while all singing birds were adult. None of the bones from period I allowed morphological sexing.

Fifty bones from period I permitted measurement (Table 9.6). Twelve of these were from birds and two from rodents. One ulna (d002/155) and one radius (d002/156) of a single sheep that articulate together were completely preserved. After Teichert (1975, tab. 4) this sheep had a withers height of 62.5 cm (GL of radius × factor 4.02). The remaining measurable domestic mammal bones were either foot bones (n=25) or vertebrae (n=3) or only partly preserved. As mentioned before, no body size can be calculated from




Fig. 9.5. Age spectrum of the most abundant domestic species from Aramus, area A, Period I. a) cattle (*Bos taurus*), b) sheep/goat (*Ovis/Capra*), c) pig (*Sus domesticus*).



Fig. 9.6. Perch (*Perca fluviatilis*): habitus (from Brandes 1976, 85).

Table 9.6. Measurements of animal bones from *Aramus* (according to von den Driesch 1976; 1999).

Species	Skeletal element	Measurements	N	Range	Mean	Bone no.	Surface unit	
Period I								
<i>Bos taurus</i>	Astragalus	Bd 42.2	1			137	d 002	
	Os tarsale 2 + 3	GB 29.4	1			151	d 002	
	Scaphocuboid	GB 49.2	1			436	grave 1	
	Patella	GB 60.0	1			139	d 002	
	Phalanx 1	GLpe 57.1; Bp 31.4; (Bd 27.0); SD 26.0	1			144	d 002	
	Phalanx 2	GL 40.3; Bd 23.2	1			146.	d 002	
			Bp	2	27.3–28.4	27.9	227	
			SD	2	23.0–21.8	22.4		
	Phalanx 3	GLS 56.3; Ld 43.0; MBS 18.5	1			147	d 002	
	Radius	GL 155.5; Ll 146.4; Bp 33.5; Bd 30.2; BFd 26.5; SD 15.9	1			156	d 002	
Ulna	GL 174.2; LO 39.9; DPA 29.6; SDO 23.7	1			155	d 002		
Metacarpus	Bp 22.9	1			158	d 002		
Tibia	Bd 27.8	1			170	d 002		
<i>Ovis aries</i>	Calcaneus	GL	2	58.2–57.2	57.7	167	d 002	
			2	19.7–20.2	20.0	168		
	Phalanx 1 anterior	GLpe 34.4; Bp 11.8; Bd 1.5; SD 10.5	1			245	d 002	
	Phalanx 1 posterior	GLpe	3	32.7–34.8	33.6	182.	d 002.	
			Bp	3	11.2–12.6	11.8	186	d 005
			Bd	3	10.6–11.1	10.8	420	
			SD	3	9.3–9.8	9.6		
	Phalanx 2	GL	2	20.4–23.0	21.7	187	d 002	
			Bp	2	9.4–11.5	10.5	246	
			Bd	2	7.1–8.1	7.6		
			SD	2	6.5–8.0	7.3		
	Phalanx 3	Ld 22.2; DiLS 28.3	1			189	d 002	
			2	5.9–6.7	6.3	421	d 005	
<i>Capra hircus</i>	Scapula	BG 23.1; SLC 20.8	1			173	d 002	
	Metacarpus	Bp 24.4	1			159	d 002	
	Tibia	Bd 29.8	1			169	d 002	
<i>Sus domesticus</i>	Radius	Bp 25.9	1			218	d 002	
	Phalanx 2 • III or IV	GL	2	21.4–21.5	21.5	127	s 002	
			Bp	2	14.2–14.5	14.4	204	d 002
		Bd	2	11.8–12.9	12.4			
			SD	2	11.3–12.4	11.9		
	Phalanx 3 • III or IV	Ld 24.5; DiLS 26.7; MBS 10.3	1			128	s 002	
<i>Canis familiaris</i>	Phalanx 1	GL 11.3; Bd 5.8; SD 4.3	1			376	d 002	
<i>Homo sapiens</i>	Phalanx 1 • II post.	GL 28.4; Bp 12.4; Bd 9.0; SD 6.3	1			122	s 002	
<i>Allactaga sp.</i>	Metatarsus	length (18.9); Bp 3.3; SD 2.2	1			388	d 002	
<i>Arvicola terrestris</i>	Humerus	GL 17.5; Bp 4.6; Bd 5.1; SC 1.7	1			449	grave 1	
<i>Gallus gallus</i>	Pelvis + Sacrum	DiA 7.3	1			448	grave 1	
<i>Tetrao mlokosiewiczii</i>	Tarsometatarsus	Bp 12.9	1			381	d 002	
Period II								
<i>Sturnus sp.</i>	Coracoid	GL 24.3	1			416	d 003	
	Humerus	GL 27.2; Bd 6.9; SC 2.6	1			411	d 003	
			2	8.4–8.8	8.6	413		
	Ulna	Did 4.2; SC 2.0	1			414	d 003	
	Femur	Bp 4.5	1			415	d 003	
	Tibiotarsus	Dip 6.2	1			386	d 002	
	Tarsometatarsus	GL 30.4; Bp 4.3; Bd 3.2; SC 1.8	1			387	d 002	
	<i>Bos taurus</i>	Humerus	Bd 63.1; BT 63.6	1			570	d 018
		Metacarpus	Bd 68.5	1			580	d 021
		Phalanx 1	GL	3	49.0–52.6	51.0	586	d 021
Bp				3	22.9–30.4	27.8	587	
Bd				2	22.5–29.0	25.8	588	
SD				2	19.7–24.4	22.1	589	
Phalanx 2		GL 37.5; (Bp 27.8); Bd 22.4; SD 21.1	1			592	d 021	
Phalanx 3		Ld 51.3; DiLS 61.7	1			590	d 021	
	2		13.2–20.4	16.8	591			
Tibia	Bd 25.8	1			623	d 021		
Astragalus	GLm 27.8; Bd 18.3; Dm 16.0	1			524	d 012		
Calcaneus	GL 57.1; GB 20.1	1			622	d 021		
<i>Ovis aries</i>	Phalanx 2 anterior	GL	2	23.4–24.4	23.9	492	d 011	
			Bp	2	11.7–12.3	12.0	629	d 021
		Bd	2	8.8–9.6	9.2			
			SD	2	8.6–8.8	8.7		
	Phalanx 2 posterior	GL 22.7; Bp 9.9; Bd 7.6; SD 7.4	1			527	d 012	

Species	Skeletal element	Measurements	N	Range	Mean	Bone no.	Surface unit
<i>Capra hircus</i>	Radius	Bp 26.4	1			618	d 021
	Metacarpus	Bd 26.3	1			624	d 021
	Femur	Bp 41.6	1			617	d 021
	Astragalus	(GLI 26.3); GLm 25.2; Bd 16.7; DI 14.2; Dm 14.5	1			491	d 011
	Phalanx 2 posterior	GL 24.8; Bp 10.8; Bd 9.0; SD 8.0	1			628	d 021
<i>Sus domesticus</i>	Phalanx 1	GLpe 31.0; Bp 15.2; Bd 13.1; SD 12.2	1			653	d 021
	Vertebra lumbalis	PL 31.8; BFcr 35.8; BFcd 31.6	1			685	d 021
<i>Canis familiaris</i>	Cranium	18 = 15.8; 20a = 11.3 ; B P4 = 6.9; 18a = 8.6; 20b (M1: B) = 13.2	1			523	d 012
Period III							
<i>Bos taurus</i>	Astragalus	GLI 55.0; GLm 50.1; Bd 35.8; DI 30.2; Dm 28.9	1			738	d 014
	Phalanx 1	GLpe 53.6	1			806	d 019
	Phalanx 2	GL 37.4; 39.4	2	37.4–39.4	38.4	777	d 013
		Bp 23.4; 29.4	2	23.4–29.4	26.4	778	
		Bd 20.8; 24.7	2	20.8–24.7	22.8		
		SD 19.5; 24.3	2	19.5–24.3	21.9		
<i>Ovis aries</i>	Scaphocuboid	GB 51.0	1			739	d 014
	Scapula	SLC 22.2	1			748	d 014
	Phalanx 1 posterior	GLpe 35.2; Bp 11.9; Bd 10.4; SD 9.4	1			730	d 009
	Phalanx 3	Ld 24.6; DiLS 30.3; MBS 6.7	1			731	d 009
<i>Capra hircus</i>	Phalanx 1 anterior	Bd 12.3	1			757	d 014
		GLpe	2	34.8–36.0	35.4	782	d 013
		Bp	2	12.2–12.5	12.4		
		SD	2	10.6–11.0	10.8		
	Phalanx 2 posterior	GL pe19.4	1			786	d 013
	Phalanx 3	Ld 21.8; DiLS 28.0; MBS 4.4	1			792	d 019
<i>Equus caballus</i>	Os carpi radiale	GB 37.5	1			801	d 019
<i>Canis familiaris</i>	Phalanx 1	Bp 8.5; SD 5.6	1			762	d 014
<i>Corvus corone</i>	Ulna	Bp 9.8; Dip 11.1	1			787	d 013
<i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>	Humerus	GL 26.9; Bp 8.7; Bd 6.5; SC 2.7	1			728	d 004
not assigned features							
<i>Bos taurus</i>	Radius	Bp 40.7	1			846	d 016
	Phalanx 1	GLpe 53.2; Bp 23.7; Bd 22.0; SD 19.4	1			868	room 5
<i>Ovis aries</i>	Scapula	GLP 31.9; LG 23.8; BG 20.0	1			892	room 5
	Humerus	Bd 29.4	1			3	d 001
	Metacarpus	Bd 23.7	1			13	d 001
	Astragalus	GLm 29.2; GLI 30.0; Bd 19.0; DI 17.0; Dm 17.0	1			15	d 001
	Phalanx 1 anterior	Bp 13.5	1			7	d 001
		GLpe	2	35.8–37.2	36.5	8	
		Bd	2	12.5–12.9	12.7		
		SD	2	10.7–10.7	10.7		
	Phalanx 1 posterior	GLpe 33.3; Bp 11.2; Bd 10.1; SD 9.0	1			9	d 001
	Atlas	GL (36.5); GB (64.5). BFcr 40.2; BFcd 51.2; H 37.5	1			928	room 5
<i>Sus domesticus</i>	Phalanx 2	GL 23.5; Bp 13.0; Bd 10.0; SD 10.4	1			43	d 001
<i>Canis familiaris</i>	Mandibula	7 = 87.2; 8 = 80.2; 9 = 74.9; 10 = 39.6; 11 = 42.3; 12 = 36.9; 14 = 24.5; 20 = 22.8	1			887	room 5
	Mandibula + C + P2-M3	(1 = 133.0); (2 = 134.0); (3 = 126.5); (4 = 114.0); (5 = 109.0); (6 = 113.3); 10 = 34.5; 13 = 21.3; 18 = 56.4; 19 = 22.6;	1			836	DOST IV
	Metatarsus 5	Bd 8.6; SD 5.6	1			951	room 5
<i>Homo sapiens</i>	Metacarpus 3	Bp 12.5	1			857	d 016
<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>	Metacarpus 2	GL 42.7; Bp 4.1; Bd 6.4; SD 4.0	1			958	room 5
	Metacarpus 5	Bp 6.5; SD 4.9	1			959	room 5
<i>Lepus europaeus</i>	Phalanx 1	GL 19.7; Bp 5.6; Bd 4.8; SD 3.8	1			960	room 5
	Metatarsus 2	GL 55.5; Bp 8.0; Bd 6.6; SD 4.4	1			896	room 5
	Metatarsus 3	GL 56.6; Bp 5.1; Bd 6.6; SD 4.0	1			897	room 5
	Metatarsus 4	GL 54.7; Bd 6.0; SD 3.8	1			898	room 5
	Phalanx 1	GL 26.5; Bp 6.3; Bd 4.2; SD 3.2	1			899	room 5
	Phalanx 1	GL 21.4; Bp 6.0; Bd 4.3; SD 3.3	1			900	room 5
	Phalanx 1	GL 19.1; Bp 4.8; Bd 3.8; SD 2.6	1			901	room 5
<i>Anas strepera</i>	Ulna	SD 5.0	1			952	room 5
<i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>	Femur	GL 25.8; Lm 24.7; Bp 4.7; Bd 4.6; SD 2.1	1			891	room 5

Table 9.7. Skeletal element distribution of cattle (*Bos taurus*) from Aramus, area A, period I–III.

Skeletal element	Period I	Period II	Period III	Total
Cornu			1	1
Cranium	1	4	1	6
Mandibula	1	2	2	5
Dentes	6	4	3	13
Hyoid				0
Atlas	1			1
Axis	1			1
Vertebrae	5	2		7
Sacrum	1	1	1	3
Costae	5	1	2	8
Scapula	2			2
Humerus	1	1		2
Radius	1	2		3
Ulna		2		2
Carpalia				0
Metacarpus	7			7
Pelvis	5	1	2	8
Femur		1	1	2
Patella	1			1
Tibia	3	1		4
Fibula				0
Astragalus	2		1	3
Calcaneus	1			1
Tarsalia	2		1	3
Metatarsus		1		1
Metapodium	3			3
Phalanx 1	1	3	1	5
Phalanx 2	2		2	4
Phalanx 3	1			1
Sesama			1	1
Total	53	26	19	98

Table 9.8. Skeletal element distribution for sheep (*Ovis aries*) and goat (*Capra hircus*) from Aramus, area A, period I–III.

Skeletal element	Period I	Period II	Period III	Total
Cornu				0
Cranium	7	3	1	11
Mandibula	4	1	3	8
Dentes	10	10	6	26
Hyoid		1		1
Atlas		1		1
Axis		1		1
Vertebrae	15	4		19
Sacrum		1		1
Costae	11	7	3	21
Scapula	1	4	1	6
Humerus	1	4	1	6
Radius	4	2		6
Ulna	5	2		7
Carpalia				0
Metacarpus	6	1	2	9
Pelvis	1	1	4	6
Femur	5	6	2	13
Patella				0
Tibia	6	3	1	10
Fibula				0
Astragalus		3		3
Calcaneus	2	1		3
Tarsalia				0
Metatarsus	6	2		8
Metapodium	4	3	2	9
Phalanx 1	9	1	4	14
Phalanx 2	2	4	2	8
Phalanx 3	2		2	4
Sternum	1		1	2
Total	102	66	35	203

these skeletal elements, but compared with published data from other sites they seem to be from small animals (e.g. Kokabi 1982, 82–83; Reichstein 1994, 38–53, 172, 188). Although not measurable, one rib of a pig (s002,119) is quite large and may belong either to a wild boar or to an intruded modern domestic pig. One pig radius (d002,365) is affected by pathology (callus tissue at the lateral side).

Period II

Species and skeletal element representation

From period II layers 740 fragments were recovered, of which 387 (52.3%) were identifiable (Table 9.4). The most outstanding figure in period II is the unexpected high amount of wild mammals (n=203). This is caused by 169 jird bones (*Meriones persicus*, Fig. 9.3). The majority of these (n=129, d012,69–113), belonging to a minimum number of three individuals, was found together, partially articulated in a burrow in stratigraphic unit d 012. Breeding burrows of *Meriones* can be over 1m deep (Piechocki 1977a, 342), while layer d 012 is situated approximately half a metre below the surface. These individuals obviously dug themselves into the already stratified layer and died there. Since not enough data about historical gerbil distribution is available at present, it cannot be ruled out, that gerbils were

Table 9.9 Age spectrum of cattle, sheep/goat and pig from Aramus, area A, Period I.

Species	Age class						Total
	> 0.5yrs	> 1yr	> 2yrs	> 3yrs	> 4yr	> 5yrs	
cattle <i>Bos taurus</i>	7	7	4	1	1	1	16
	<0.5yrs	<1yr	<2yrs	<3yrs	<4yr	<5yrs	
sheep/goat <i>Ovis/Capra</i>	21	14	11	9	3	–	43 ²
	<0.5yrs	<1yr	<2yrs	<3yrs	<4yr	<5yrs	
pig <i>Sus domesticus</i>	5	5	1	1	1	–	25 ⁴
	<0.5yrs	<1yr	<2yrs	<3yrs	<4yrs	<5yrs	

1: all neonatus

2: 3 specimen gave a time span and were counted twice.

3: 4 × neonatus

4: 2 specimen gave a time span and were counted twice.

living in Aramus even in prehistoric times. But, although jirds do live in the vicinity of human settlements, they are freeland species and do not follow human civilisation into building structures like the rat (*Rattus* sp.) and the house mouse (*Mus musculus*) (Piechocki 1977a, 342–343). All these arguments add weight to the assumption that the

Table 9.10. Age spectrum of cattle, sheep/goat and pig from Aramus, area A, Period II.

Species	Age class						Total
cattle <i>Bos taurus</i>	>0.5yrs	>1yr	>2yrs	>3yrs	>4yr	>5yrs	21 ¹
	12	12	4	1	–	–	
sheep/goat <i>Ovis/Capra</i>	<0.5yrs	<1yr	<2yrs	<3yrs	<4yr	<5yrs	21 ²
	–	–	1	4	5	10	
sheep/goat <i>Ovis/Capra</i>	>0.5yrs	>1yr	>2yrs	>3yrs	>4yrs	>5yrs	21 ²
	15	9	4	4	2	–	
pig <i>Sus domesticus</i>	<0.5yrs	<1yr	<2yrs	<3yrs	<4yr	<5yrs	13 ¹
	–	1	2	2	3	9	
pig <i>Sus domesticus</i>	>0.5yrs	>1yr	>2yrs	>3yrs	>4yrs	>5yrs	13 ¹
	4	3	2	1	1	–	
pig <i>Sus domesticus</i>	<0.5yrs	<1yr	<2yrs	<3yrs	<4yrs	<5yrs	13 ¹
	1	4	7	7	8	10	

1: 1 specimen gave a time span and was counted twice
2: 3 specimen gave a time span and were counted twice.

gerbils are modern intrusions. For the remaining 34 rodent bones, two of which are of a golden hamster (*Cricetus auratus*, d011/497, d012/535), it cannot be ruled out that they may be intrusive as well. Except these micro-mammals no other wild mammals were found in period II.

Cattle exceed all other species by number (n=79) and even more explicitly by weight (ca. 70% of the total bone weight) in period II, ovicaprids rank second (n=66) followed by pigs (n=26). Period II produced the first evidence of equids (family Equidae, n=4). Three of these were from the domestic horse (*Equus caballus*), while one metatarsus (d011/484) belonged to a donkey (*Equus asinus*). One skull fragment proves the existence of dogs in period II.

A surprise was the presence of 25 fish bones in sieved samples from layer d 011, six of which could be attributed to three different families, the salmon and trouts (Salmonidae, n=1), the minnows (Cyprinidae, n=3) and the perches (Percidae, n=2). One perch bone could be assigned to the species *Perca fluviatilis* (Fig. 9.6). As it is unlikely for fish to accumulate by natural means on a hilltop, it is a reasonable assumption that they were brought into the site by the inhabitants.

This fosters the hypothesis of wetlands in the vicinity of Aramus, which is also supported by the finding of plant macrofossils (Hovsepian pers. comm.). Only very few fish bones have been discovered from archaeological sites in Armenia so far, including singular remains of carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) and sheat-fish (*Silurus glanis*) from the Urartian city of Argistichinili (Manaseryan 2003, 101–102). The results of the sieving experiment suggest that the rareness of fish remains in Urartian bone assemblages may be a methodological bias instead of an assumed avoiding of fish diet (Manaseryan 2003, 102). The samples also contained one pelvis fragment of a true frog (genus *Rana*), for geographical distribution and size reasons this can only be *Rana macrocnemis camerani* (Engelmann et al. 1985, 166–167, 177–179). The remaining small fragments from the sieved samples (n=182) do not have diagnostic




Fig. 9.7. Age spectrum of the most abundant domestic species from Aramus, area A, Period II. a) cattle (*Bos taurus*), b) sheep/goat (*Ovis / Capra*), c) pig (*Sus domesticus*).

features allowing morphological identification, although most are from mammals, judging by their bone structure, texture and overall appearance. The extraction of fish and amphibian remains from only 20 litres of soil expresses convincingly the potential of the sieving method for the analysis of the site.

Skeletal element distribution shows that all body parts of cattle (see Table 9.7), sheep/goat (see Table 9.8) and pig are represented. They are evenly distributed in sheep/goat, while in cattle and pig skull fragments are slightly over-represented. Multiple existence of skeletal elements proves two individuals of cattle, three ovicaprids and two pigs.

Age, size and sex data

Features for age estimation were preserved on 21 cattle, 21 sheep/goat and 13 pig bones (Fig. 9.7 and Table 9.10). In period II ovicaprids were evenly distributed in age classes between one and five years, while cattle reached between one to three years and pigs between half a year and two years.

Measurements could be taken from 30 bones (Table 9.6). Two teeth remaining in a dog skull fragment (d021/523) are smaller than the teeth of a skull published by Kokabi (1982, 91–96), which belonged to a dog similar to a Fox Terrier in size (withers height 39cm; Harris 1995, 257). All other bones are either fragmented or elements from the feet (n=19) and do not provide a reliable base for a size analysis. One distal fragment of a cattle metacarpus (d021/580; Table 9.6) is rather large and almost certainly belonged to a male animal (Kokabi 1982, 45, 49; Reichstein 1994, 78–80). A pig mandible (d021/650) could be attributed to a sow.

Period III

Species and skeletal element representation

The number of bones retrieved from period III is 148 with an NISP of 67 (45.3%). Species representation shows again an abundance of ovicaprids in bone number, while cattle comprise more than 50% of the bone weight (Table 9.5). Pig, horse and dog are present by singular bones. The only wild mammal found is a vertebra of a hare (d009/732), which results in a domestic to wild mammal ratio of 60:1 (excluding rodents). The amount of rodent bones declines down to four in period III. Three of these (1 skull, 1 mandible, 1 atlas) belong to one individual of a golden hamster and were still articulated when found. Period III layers contained three bird bones, two of the carrion crow (*Corvus corone*, d014/772, d013/787) and one phalanx that is morphologically close to a heron (family Ardeidae, d009/734).

Good preservation conditions were again proved by the find of a toad humerus (*Bufo* sp., Fig. 9.8a). This can only be from the green toad (*Bufo viridis*, d009/733, Fig. 9.8b), since it is the only species of toad living in Armenia (Dal 1954, 300; Engelmann *et al.* 1985, 149, 154). Except for two sheep/goat the MNI is one for all species.

No bones of the forelimb of cattle are preserved, while most specimen belong to the skull (n=7) or the feet (n=6) (Table 9.7). A similar picture can be seen in ovicaprids (12 feet, 10 skull, 2 fore limb, 7 hind limb; see Table 9.8). The number of pig bones is too small to compare skeletal elements.

Age, size and sex data

Only three cattle bones from period III allowed age estimation, all belonging to individuals older than 1 year. Of the eight ovicaprid bones with preserved ageing criteria,



Fig. 9.8. Green toad (*Bufo viridis*). a) humerus (d009/733) (Photo: Scheffka); b) habitus (from Engelmann *et al.* 1985, 153).

seven were at least 6 months old and one was older than 4 years. One phalanx of a dog (d014/762) must be older than 5 months.

Only 15 bones of the autopodium of cattle (n=5), sheep/goat (n=8), horse (n=1) and dog (n=1) were measurable. No bone with sexing criteria is preserved from period III.

Bones from features not assigned to a chronological period

These comprise 172 specimen out of area A from the stratigraphic units d 001 (n=110), d 004 (n=2), d 016 (n=51) and Locus DOST IV (n=9) plus 275 specimen out of area B from room 5 (n=263) and room 6 (n=12).

Because the finds from the surface layer d 001 are a mixture of modern and archaeological finds, they can only add an impression of the species represented (Table 9.2). This impression is consistent with the general picture and will not be discussed here any further. Worth mentioning is a fragment of a cattle rib (d001/51) with callus tissue at its distal end, indicating a fracture or an inflammation.

From stratigraphic unit d 004 two bones – a vertebra of a cattle and a humerus of a common starling – were recovered. The few identifiable bones from stratigraphic unit d 016 showed the same pattern as periods I–III with sheep/goat (n=7), cattle (n=5) and pig (n=2). Apart from five undetermined mammals Locus DOST IV contained



Fig. 9.9. Dog mandible (DOSTIV/836) from Aramus (Photo: Scheffka).

two cattle fragments (1 mandible, 1 radius), a nearly complete right mandible of a dog (DOST IV/836, Fig. 9.9) and a fragment of a dog canine belonging to another mandible. Although the mandible 836 is broken into three pieces, several measurements could be taken (see Table 9.6).

Compared with the dog mandibles found at the site of Beniamin, Armenia (1st millennium BC, n=12) this individual was at the lower margin of the size range represented there (Manaseryan 2000; Mirzoyan 2011). According to Kokabi (1982, 92–95) individual 836 was bigger than a Fox Terrier (withers height 39cm; Harris 1995, 257), but slightly smaller than a German Shepherd (withers height ca. 55–65cm; Harris 1995, 243).

Area B is identical with room 5 and 6 of the area excavated by Avetisyan. These rooms were filled up with the excavated soil when the dig was closed in 1988. Hence, most finds from area B (n=252) are from disturbed layers and cannot be assigned to a cultural period. These bones can only contribute to the species representation, which is consistent with the other assemblages (see Table 9.2). Six hare bones, one common starling, one gadwall (*Anas strepera*) and one house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*) may be mentioned. Measurements could be taken from the 5th metatarsus of a dog (room5/951; Table 9.6) from room 5 (southeast corner). Compared with the data given by Ratjen and Heinrich (1978, 38), this bone fits in the range of the modern breeds German Boxer, Airedale Terrier, Chow Chow and Standard Poodle, which are all medium-sized breeds of 45–63 cm withers height (Goerttler 1972, 212–219; Harris 1995, 194, 223, 233, 240). A dog mandible (room5/887) belonged to an individual larger than a German Shepherd. This dog was at the upper size range of the Benjamin dogs (Manaseryan 2000; Mirzoyan 2011). It has to be stated that these single measurements should be viewed with care and should not be used as a base for further conclusions concerning the phenotype of the dogs inhabiting ancient Aramus.

Apart from these unstratified finds, 23 bones were recovered from an undisturbed feature in room 5, which has been preliminary assessed as a storage silo pit and will




Fig. 9.10. a) Slaughtering of a sheep at the Geghard monastery (Photo: Küchelmann). b) Foot of a goat (*Capra hircus*) left by villagers on the path leading to the excavation site. A piece of rope used for hanging the body head down during the slaughtering process is still attached. (Photo: Küchelmann).



Fig. 9.11. Sheep radius (d016/850) with ungulate gnawing marks. (Photo: Scheffka).

probably be datable. The identifiable part of this sub-sample (n=11) consists of two sheep/goat, three fox, five rodent and one singing bird bone. According to the data given in Ratjen & Heinrich (1978, 26, 39) one metacarpus III of a fox (958, Table 9.6) fits in size and proportions into the range of a female. No age data could be drawn from bones of the silo filling.

Taphonomy

While the general preservation status of the bone material is excellent, only 193 out of the total of 1860 bones are completely preserved (degree of fragmentation = 89.6%). These mainly consist of rodents (n=94) and the compact bones of the autopodium of domestic ungulates (n=70). A closer look at the domestic mammals shows that only 81 (14.0%) of the total of 577 are not fragmented. This is consistent with the generally accepted thesis of meat processing refuse, showing a high degree of fragmentation. The bones of the autopodium only contain a small amount of consumable tissue and therefore are often thrown away without processing (Fig. 9.10). Further, they are more resistant to fragmentation than other bones due to their bone structure.

Direct evidence of meat procurement as proved by tool marks (impact marks, cut and scraping marks) could be observed on 5–10% of the sub-samples from the different periods (Table 9.11). Tool marks found on the identified bones only appeared on domestic species (31 cattle, 47 ovicaprids, 9 pig, 1 horse, 1 cock). In cattle and ovicaprids tool marks were evident on all body parts, but were not analysed for location patterns. Traces indicating the use of bones as handcraft raw material or artefacts (e.g. sawing marks, polish, use wear) have not been found. Evidence for the influence of fire could be observed on 71 bones (Table 9.11). While 62 of these were charred and can be interpreted as cooking remains, only nine were calcined.⁸ The charred specimens are distributed over the different periods ranging from eight (9.2%) in period III, more than 14 (4.2%) in period I to 24 (7.2%) in period II. In relation to species, charring also appears only on domestic animals (13 cattle, 10 sheep/goat, 1 pig, 2 dog). Noteworthy are two charred first toe joints from dogs from period I and III (d002/376, d014/762), raising the question whether dogs in Aramus were eaten. Calcined bones were only found in period I and II and the identifiable ones are an astragalus of a goat (d011/491) and a 1st phalanx of a sheep (d011/492).

Between 10% and 15% of the sub-samples showed carnivore bite marks adding to a total of 127 (Table 9.11). Distribution by species is proportional to the taxa recorded (40 sheep/goat, 34 cattle, 20 pig, 3 hare, 3 singing bird, 1 horse, 1 rodent). The real percentage of carnivore bite marks is in fact higher because 435 small unidentifiable fragments have not been, because of practical reasons, monitored for bite marks, weathering and root etching. One specimen (d016/850; Fig. 9.11) shows marks of ungulate

gnawing (Küchelmann 2009, 151–152; Sutcliffe 1973).

Weathering is, by definition, visible damage on bone surfaces lying exposed on the ground and caused by repeated climatic changes (Behrensmeyer 1978⁹). The specific destruction patterns that develop on weathered bones could be observed on a relatively high number of finds (n=573) with an abundance between 41% in period II and 71% in period I (Table 9.11). This reveals that a significant part of the bones had been lying on the soil surface for several years, before the following layer formed. Root etching is caused by acids secreted from the roots of several plant species growing adjacent to bones embedded in the soil. These traces are visible on 225 specimens with the lowest value in period II (12%) and the highest in period I (32%). A conclusion from these features is that the bones remained on or just underneath the soil surface for a longer time span in period I than in period II. This indicates that the formation of period II was a faster process than that of the period I. In the case of period III the values lie between those of period I and II, but here again the number of bones found is very small.

Other results

One fragment of a cattle metacarpus from stratigraphic unit s 002 (s002/126) matches with a fragment from stratigraphic unit grave 1 (grave1/437). The breakage is old and not a digging artefact. Possible implications of this correlation are that either both layers were formed in the same time period or grave 1 was dug into layer s 002.

In the case of a cattle mandible (d002/141) bite marks superimposing cutmarks were visible thus proving the secondary use of human meat processing refuse by carnivores, most probably dogs.

Synthesis

The animal bone material from the 2004 excavation at Aramus consists of 1860 fragments, of which 867 (46.7%) were identifiable (NISP). Except reptiles, species of all vertebrate classes were found (Tables 9.2–9.5). While the vast majority of the material comes from mammals (n=1625), birds (n=26), fish (n=25) and amphibians (n=2) are present in small numbers. As to be expected in a settlement of a society with a highly developed agricultural system, the bulk of the assemblage (n=577; 66.6% of NISP) consists of domestic mammals (cattle, sheep, goat, pig, horse, donkey and dog). Apart from 241 rodent bones, wild mammals are underrepresented with only eight hare and five fox bones and even these may be intrusive. Assumed they are not, the domestic to wild mammal ratio is 44.4:1.

Species distribution over the three time periods I–III shows a predominance of the small ruminants (sheep and goat) followed by cattle and pig, except for period II, where the number of cattle bones exceeds the ovicaprids (Table 9.2). With over 50% of the bone weight, cattle are most important in all periods (Tables 9.3–9.5), especially

Table 9.11. Occurrence of taphonomic features on bones from Aramus, excavation 2004, area A and B.

Taphonomic feature	Surface layer d 001		Period I		Period II		Period III		Not assigned features ¹		Room 5 + 6		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
impact marks	6	9.0	32	9.6	16	4.8	6	7.0	2	6.7	2	1.4	64	6.5
cut marks	4	6.0	25	7.5	26	7.8	8	9.2	1	3.3	5	3.5	69	7.0
scraping marks					2	0.6			2	6.7			4	0.4
charred	1	1.5	14	4.2	24	7.2	8	9.2	2	6.7	13	9.2	62	6.3
calcined			4	1.2	4	1.2					1	0.7	9	0.9
carnivore bite marks ²	7	10.4	39	11.7	47	14.2	9	10.3	11	36.7	14	9.9	127	12.8
rodent gnawing marks			7	2.1	2	0.6							9	0.9
digestive acid corrosion			2	0.6							2	1.4	4	0.4
weathered ²	34	50.7	238	71.3	136	41.0	52	59.8	20	66.7	93	65.5	573	57.8
trampling	1	1.5											1	0.4
root etching ²	11	16.4	108	32.3	40	12.0	24	27.6	7	23.3	35	24.6	225	22.7
total monitored of sub-sample	67		334		332		87		30		142		992	

1: stratigraphic units d 004, d 016, Locus DOST IV.

2: 435 fragments of unidentified mammals have not been monitored for carnivore bite marks, weathering and root etching.

in period II, where they reach 71% of the total weight. Single bones of dogs were found in all periods, horses only appear in period II and III, and one donkey bone was found in period II.

Rodents are present in all periods, with the broadest spectrum of species (Persian jird, common vole, golden hamster, jerboa) in period I and the highest number of bones (n=203) in period II (Tables 9.2–9.5). Since all 182 identified rodent bones are from burrow-building species (Piechocki 1977a, 342; 1977b, 397; van den Brink 1957, 83–104), it cannot be decided in each case, if the found animals were contemporary with the formation of the archaeological layers, or if they intruded into the strata by burrowing. In the former case they may have been commensal or a pest for the people living in the ancient settlement. In the latter they are modern inhabitants of the site and should be excluded from the archaeological analysis. In some cases contextual information provides clues, as with the gerbils from period II.

Bird species identified comprise domestic cock, gadwall, black-billed magpie, carrion crow, common starling and house sparrow (Figs 9.5–9.7). Unexpectedly the most abundant species (n=9) is the common starling, ranking even before fowl (n=7). Most bird bones (n=18) were found in period I, raising the question if this uneven distribution is just a random bias or if birds in general and starlings in particular had a significance in that period.

The 25 fish bones from period II are undoubtedly a methodological bias caused by the more accurate sieving methods employed. Six of the fish bones could be assigned to the families Cyprinidae, Percidae and Salmonidae.

Skeletal element distribution in cattle (Table 9.7), ovicaprids (Table 9.8) and pig reveals that all body parts (skull, spinal column, fore limb, hind limb, feet) are present in period I and II. In period III sheep/goat are represented by all body parts as well, whereas cattle are missing the forelimb. A slight over-representation of the skull in period

II and III and of the feet in Period I and III is visible in cattle. In sheep/goat body parts are evenly distributed in period I and II, while in period III feet and skull are over-represented. The pig feet elements are overrepresented in period I and skull fragments in period II. In general the bone numbers for each period are too small for statistical analysis and hence the distribution data does not allow wide ranged conclusions.

Monitoring the age spectrum (Figs 9.5, 9.6, Tables 9.9, 9.10) of period I–II reveals that cattle were killed at an age of 1–3 years. Sheep and goat found between 1 and 5 years old were evenly distributed. Most pigs were aged between 6 months and 2 years. This pattern indicates that pigs as well as cattle were mainly raised for meat production, while a certain proportion of sheep and goats were kept longer e.g. for breeding, milking or wool production. Generally this becomes even more evident when the sex ratio is taken into account – usually in such circumstances the portion of females kept is higher than the portion of males – but unfortunately not enough bones allowed morphological sexing.

The data basis for a metrical analysis of the bone assemblage is very small. Only two long bones of adult domestic mammals were completely preserved allowing the application of the available standard factors for the calculation of body size. These two bones belong to one individual of a sheep from period I with a withers height of 62.5cm. A skull fragment of a small dog was recovered from period II. A dog mandible slightly smaller than a German Shepherd was found in Locus DOST IV. Another mandible fragment of a large dog (larger than a German Shepherd) and a metatarsus of a medium sized dog were excavated in room 5. The abundant complete compact foot bones of domestic mammals (n=91) allow only a relative estimation of size in comparison with populations where a larger series of data is available. This intensive research task could not be undertaken because of the limited time

and financial resources available to the first campaign and has had to be left for the future.

Except for three pigs in period I, three sheep, goat and three jirds in period II the MNI never exceeds two individuals (Figs 9.5–9.7). Only three bones allowed morphological sexing, one mandible of a sow, one metacarpus of a male cattle and one metacarpus of a female fox. Two bones show pathologic changes in the form of callus tissue.

Close examination for traces of taphonomic processes revealed a high degree of fragmentation, frequent tool marks and charring on bones of domestic animals. These features are in accordance with the expectation of an assemblage of daily meat consumption refuse from a highly developed agricultural and stock rearing society. Worth mentioning are two charred dog bones indicating possible dog consumption. Calcinated bones are rare, bone-working traces were not observed. Non-human agents leaving their traces on the bone finds were carnivores (frequent), rodents, ungulates, plants and weathering.

Outlook

As the preservation conditions for animal bones could be proved to be excellent, a high amount of additional bone finds can be expected for forthcoming excavations in Aramus. The successful results from the tests with the wet sieving method show that an intensification of soil sampling and sieving would be desirable in future. A further suggestion is the use of the flotation method for the regular processing of soil samples, which would greatly increase efficiency. This would probably result in the extraction of more fish bones, highlighting the fish diet of the inhabitants of the settlement. Special attention should be paid to future finds of singing bird bones and their distribution in the stratigraphy to verify or falsify a possible significance for the people of ancient Aramus. The finds of singular human bones on the eastern acropolis (area A) make it probable that further graves will be found in the vicinity of grave 1.

Provided adequate funding is available more effort could be invested in the metrical analysis of the bone assemblage, especially in the case of dogs. Further, there is the possibility to analyse tool mark patterns to get an indication of craftsman's methods and handicrafts conducted in Aramus.

Future ideas include a comparative analysis between Aramus and material from other archaeozoologically examined Urartian sites like Argistichinili (Armavir) (Martirosjan 1974, 121, 142–144; Salvini 1995, 132), Akhtamir (Gautier & Vandenbruane 1997), Bastam (Boessneck & Kokabi 1988; Kroll 1988; Krauß 1975; Zimansky 1988), Beniamin (Mirzoyan 2011), Horom (Badaljan *et al.* 1994; 1997, 191–193; Obermaier 2006), Arin-Berd (Erebuni) and Karmir-Blur (Teishebaini) (Martirosjan 1974, 144). Further the bone finds need to be compared with iconographic, written and material

evidence related to animals in Urartu (see e.g. Arutunjan 1964, 139–204).

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful for the help we received from the following colleagues and would like to send our warmest regards. Wilfrid Allinger-Csollich, Hayk Avetisyan, Jasmine Dum-Tragut, Sandra Heinsch and Walter Kuntner organised the Aramus project with great enthusiasm. Gerhard Forstenpointner launched the archaeozoological analysis and kindly provided the use of his institute's reference collection as well as accommodation and funding. Karen Aghababyan, Alfred Galik, Barbara Herzig and Laura Yeghishe Oganessyan were a great support in solving identification and species distribution questions. Lena Wöhlke prepared graphs and lectured the manuscript together with Alasdair Jardine. Horst Pfisterer and Frank Scheffka contributed photographs, Oliver Raitmayr and Klaus Kerkow valuable references.

Notes

- 1 The project was organised by Sandra Heinsch and Walter Kuntner (Institut für Alte Geschichte und Altorientalistik, Zentrum für Alte Kulturen, Universität Innsbruck) and Hayk Avetisyan (Department of History, University of Yerevan).
- 2 The report has been delivered to the organising institutes 2006. A former version has been published online on the websites of the Aramus project and the first author (http://www.knochenarbeit.de/eigene_arbeiten/aramus_2004.pdf).
- 3 *Spalax graecus* (NMW 2158), *Spalax microphtalmus* (NMW 2433), *Allactaga major* (NMW no no.), *Cricetulus migratorius* (NMW 18268), *Ellobius fuscocapillus* (NMW 12052).
- 4 History Museum of Yerevan, Argishti Street 1, Yerevan, Armenia, tel, +374-1-568185
- 5 Three species of *Meriones* inhabit the region of Kotayk, *M. persicus*, *M. blacklerii* and *M. vinogradovii* (Dal 1954, 167–168; Gepner & Naumov 1972, 167; Oganessyan, pers. comm. 10-2005). The bones match perfectly with *M. persicus*, which is smaller than the latter species. However, since reference skeletons of *M. blackleri* and *M. vinogradovii* were not available, they cannot be ruled out with certainty at present.
- 6 Although they are belonging to the limbs in strict sense, the foot bones are separated here because of their specific anatomical properties further explained in the taphonomy chapter.
- 7 The crux with ageing data is that in most cases the epiphyseal fusion stage does only give a terminus ante or post quem. Only in a few occasions (tooth status in juvenile mandibles, visible fusion lines, neonates) an exact age or a time span can be obtained.
- 8 The term 'calcination' defines bones that have been affected by great heat for a prolonged time period resulting in a total loss of the organic component, leaving only the anorganic component with a grey or white, cracked appearance.
- 9 The study of Behrensmeier (1978) was conducted in Kenya and has to be viewed as a preliminary indication since it is not applicable to every climatic situation (see e.g. Andrews 1990, 10–17; Tappen 1990).

References

- Adamian, M. S. & Klem, D. 1997, *A Field Guide to Birds of Armenia*. American University of Armenia, Yerevan.
- Andrews, P. 1990, *Owls, Caves and Fossils*. Natural History Museum, London.
- Arutunjan, N. V. 1964, *Zemledelie i skotovodstvo v Urartu* [Agriculture and Cattle Breeding of Urartu]. Izdatel'stvo Akademii Nauk Armanskoj SSR, Erevan.
- Avetisyan, H. 2001, *Aragac*. Yerevan State University, Yerevan.
- Badaljan, R. S., Kohl, P. L., Stronach, D. & Tonikjan, A. V. 1994, 'Preliminary report on the 1993 excavations at Horom, Armenia'. *Iran* 32(1), 22.
- Badaljan, R. S., Kohl, P. L. & Kroll, S. E. 1997, 'Horom 1995 – Bericht über die amerikanisch–armenisch–deutsche archäologische Expedition in Armenien'. *Archäologische Mitteilungen aus Iran und Turan* 29, 191–227.
- Bauer–Manndorf, E. 1984, *Das frühe Armenien*. Mechitharisten–Congregation, Wien.
- Behrensmeier, A. K. 1978, 'Taphonomic and ecologic information from bone weathering'. *Paleobiology* 4(2), 150–162.
- Benecke, N. 1994, *Archäozoologische Studien zur Entwicklung der Haustierhaltung in Mitteleuropa und Südkandinavien von den Anfängen bis zum ausgehenden Mittelalter*. Schriften zur Ur- und Frühgeschichte 46. Akademie Verlag, Berlin.
- Boessneck, J. & Kokabi, M. 1988, 'Tierknochenfunde', in W. Kleiss (ed.), *Bastam II – Ausgrabungen in den urartäischen Anlagen 1977–1978*, 175–262, Tafel 40–43. Teheraner Forschungen 5, Berlin.
- Boessneck, J., Müller, H.–H. & Teichert, M. 1964, *Osteologische Unterscheidungsmerkmale zwischen Schaf (Ovis aries Linné) und Ziege (Capra hircus Linné)*. *Kühn–Archiv* 78 (1–2), 1–129.
- Brandes, C.–H. 1976, 'Die Barschfische', in B. Grzimek (ed.), *Grzimeks Tierleben*, Band 5, 75–124, Fische II und Lurche. Kindler, Zürich.
- Brohmer, P. 1984, *Fauna von Deutschland*. 16 Auflage, Heidelberg.
- Dal, S. K. 1954, *Schivotnyj Mir Armjanskoj SSR* [Animal World of the Armenian Soviet Socialist Republic], Tom I. Poevonotschnye Schivotnye, Akademija Nauk Armenskoj SSR, Soologitschij Institut. Academy of Sciences of the Armenian SSR, Yerevan.
- Engelmann, W.–E., Fritzsche, J., Günther, R. & Obst, F. J. 1985, *Lurche und Kriechtiere Europas*. Neumann, Leipzig.
- Gautier, A. & Vandenbruane, M. 1997, 'Prehistoric and Medieval animal remains from the Akhtamir citadel, Armenia, some first results', *Archaeofauna* 6, 81–90.
- Gentry, A., Clutton–Brock, J. & Groves, C. P. 2004, 'The naming of wild animal species and their domestic derivatives'. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 31, 645–651.
- Grimmberger, E., Rudloff, K. & Kern, C. 2009, Atlas der Säugetiere Europas, Nordafrikas und Vorderasiens, Münster.
- Goertler, V. 1972, 'Rassen des Haushundes', in B. Grzimek (ed.), *Grzimeks Tierleben*, 212–221. Natur und Tier–Verlag, Zürich.
- Harris, S. (ed.) 1995, *Hunderassen*. Weltbild, Augsburg.
- Heinzel, H., Fitter, R. & Parslow, J. 1972, *The Birds of Britain and Europe with North Africa and the Middle East*. Harper Collins, London.
- Heptner, V. G. & Naumov, N. P. 1972, *Mlekopitaushie Sovetskogo Soiuza 2(2)* [The Mammals of the Soviet Union. Visshaya shkola, Moscow.
- Khanzadian, E. 1979, *Elar–Darani*. Izdatel'stvo AN Armyanskoj SSR, Yerevan.
- Kokabi, M. 1982, *Arae Flaviae II – Viehhaltung und Jagd im römischen Rottweil*. Forschungen und Berichte zur Vor- und Frühgeschichte in Baden–Württemberg 13, Stuttgart.
- Krauß, R. 1975, 'Tierknochen aus Bastam in Nordwest–Azerbaidjan, Iran – Fundmaterial der Grabungen 1970 und 1971', unpublished Dissertation, München.
- Kroll, S. 1988, 'Zur Interpretation der Tierknochenfunde und Tonbullae in MB 2,OB 5', in W. Kleiss (ed.), *Bastam II – Ausgrabungen in den urartäischen Anlagen 1977–1978*, 103–106. Teheraner Forschungen 5, Berlin.
- Küchelmann, H. C. 2010, 'Taphonomische Spuren an Knochenfunden – ein Überblick am Beispiel von Funden aus der bronzezeitlichen Stadt Hirbet ez–Zeraqon (Jordanien)' in R. de Beaulclair, S. C. Münzel and H. Napierala (eds), *Knochen pflastern ihren Weg. Festschrift für Margarethe und Hans–Peter Uerpmann*, *BioArchaeologica* 5, 143–159. Marie Leidorf, Rahden.
- Manaseryan, N. 2000, 'Dogs of Armenia', in S. J. Crockford (ed.), *Dogs Through Time: an archaeological perspective: proceedings of the 1st ICAZ Symposium on the history of the domestic dog, eighth congress of the International Council for Archaeozoology (ICAZ98)*, 227–235. British Archaeological Report S889. Archaeopress, Oxford.
- Manaseryan, N. 2003, 'The fishes of the ancient Armenia', in *Proceedings of the 12th Conference of the ICAZ Fish Remains Working Group, Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico*, 101–105. Unpublished Canaculta, Guadalajara.
- Manaseryan, N. & Balyan, L. 2002, 'The birds of ancient Armenia'. *Acta Zoologica Cracoviensia* 45, 405–414. Krakow.
- Martirosjan, A. A. 1974, *Argistichinili, Archeologitscheskie Pamjatniki Armenii* 8. Urartskie Pamjatniki Wypusk I, Jerewan.
- Mirzoyan, L. 2011, 'Hunting and farming practices in Beniamin (Armenia)'. Poster presented at the 10th Symposium of the ICAZ Archaeozoology of Southwest Asia and Adjacent Areas Working Group, 28–30 6 2011, Brussels.
- Obermaier, H. 2006, 'Tierknochenfunde aus Horom, Armenien, von der frühen Bronzezeit bis in die späturartäische Zeit'. *Archäologische Mitteilungen aus Iran und Turan* 38, 141–195.
- Piechocki, R. 1977a, 'Familie Wühler', in B. Grzimek (ed.), *Grzimeks Tierleben*, Band 11, Säugetiere II, 302–344. Kindler, Zürich.
- Piechocki, R. 1977b, 'Überfamilie Bilchartige', in B. Grzimek (ed.), *Grzimeks Tierleben*, Band 11, Säugetiere II, 383–397. Kindler, Zürich.
- Prummel, W. & Frisch, H.–J. 1986, 'A guide for the distinction of species, sex and body side in bones of sheep and goat'. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 13, 567–577.
- Ratjen, H. & Heinrich, D. 1978, *Vergleichende Untersuchungen an den Metapodien von Füchsen und Hunden*. Schriften aus der Archäologisch–Zoologischen Arbeitsgruppe Schleswig–Kiel 4. Universität Kiel, Kiel
- Reichstein, H. 1994, 'Die Säugetiere und Vögel aus der frühgeschichtlichen Wurt Elisenhof'. *Studien zur Küstenarchäologie Schleswig–Holsteins* Serie A, Elisenhof, Band 6, 1–214. Peter Lang, Frankfurt am Main.
- Salvini, M. 1995, *Geschichte und Kultur der Urartäer*. Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, Darmstadt.
- Schmid, E. 1972, *Knochenatlas für Prähistoriker, Archäologen und Quartärgeologen*. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Serjeantson, D. & Cohen, A. 1996, *A Manual for the Identification of Bird Bones from Archaeological Sites*. Archetype Books, London.

- Spitzenberger, F. 2001, *Die Säugetierfauna Österreichs*. Grüne Reihe des Bundesministeriums für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Umwelt und Wasserwirtschaft 13, Graz.
- Sutcliffe, A. J. 1973, 'Similarity of bones and antlers gnawed by deer to human artefacts'. *Nature* 246, 428–430.
- Tappen, M. 1994, 'Bone weathering in the tropical rain forest'. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 21, 667–673.
- Teichert, M. 1975, 'Osteometrische Untersuchungen zur Berechnung der Widerristhöhe bei Schafen', in A. T. Clason (ed.), *Archaeozoological Studies*, 51–69. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- van den Brink, F. H. 1957, *Die Säugetiere Europas*. Parey, Hamburg.
- von den Driesch, A. 1976, *Das Vermessen von Tierknochen aus Vor- und Frühgeschichtlichen Siedlungen*. Institut für Paläoanatomie, Domestikationsforschung und Geschichte der Tiermedizin, München.
- von den Driesch, A. 1999, *A Guide to the Measurement of Animal Bones from Archaeological Sites*. Peabody Museum Bulletin 1, Harvard University, Cambridge MA.
- Zimansky, P. 1988, MB2, 'OB5 Excavations and the Problem of Urartian Bone Rooms', in W. Kleiss (ed.), *Bastam II – Ausgrabungen in den urartäischen Anlagen 1977–1978*, 107–124, Tafel 14–15. Teheraner Forschungen 5, Berlin.



ASWA 9 delegates at Al Ain Zoo. November 2008

AN OFFPRINT FROM

International Council of Archaeozoology (ICAZ)
Proceedings of the 9th conference of the ASWA (AA) Working Group
Archaeozoology of SouthWest Asia and Adjacent Areas
– Al Ain, Abu Dhabi Emirate, United Arab Emirates

Archaeozoology of the Near East 9

In honour of Hans-Peter Uerpmann and François Poplin

edited by

Marjan Mashkour and Mark Beech

Hardcover Edition: ISBN 978-1-78297-844-2
Digital Edition: ISBN 978-1-78297-845-9 (epub)

Published in the United Kingdom in 2017 by
OXBOW BOOKS
The Old Music Hall, 106–108 Cowley Road, Oxford, OX4 1JE

and in the United States by
OXBOW BOOKS
1950 Lawrence Road, Havertown, PA 19083

© Oxbow Books and the individual authors 2017

Hardcover Edition: ISBN 978-1-78297-844-2
Digital Edition: ISBN 978-1-78297-845-9 (epub)

A CIP record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: International Symposium on the Archaeozoology of Southwestern Asia and
Adjacent Areas (9th : 2008 : Al Ain, United Arab Emirates) | Mashkour, M.
(Marjan), editor. | Beech, Mark J., editor.

Title: Archaeozoology of the Near East 9 : proceedings of the 9th Conference
of the ASWA (AA) Working Group : archaeozoology of Southwest Asia and
adjacent areas / edited by Marjan Mashkour and Mark Beech.

Description: Oxford ; Philadelphia : Oxbow Books, 2016. | Includes
bibliographical references. | Description based on print version record
and CIP data provided by publisher; resource not viewed.

Identifiers: LCCN 2016040915 (print) | LCCN 2016032516 (ebook) | ISBN
9781782978459 (epub) | ISBN 9781782978473 (pdf) | ISBN 9781782978466 (mobi) | ISBN 9781782978442 (hardback) | ISBN 9781782948459 (digital
edition)

Subjects: LCSH: Animal remains (Archaeology)--Middle East--Congresses. |
Hunting and gathering societies--Middle East--Congresses. | Prehistoric
peoples--Middle East--Congresses. | Middle East--Antiquities--Congresses.

Classification: LCC CC79.5.A5 (print) | LCC CC79.5.A5 I58 2008 (ebook) | DDC
930.1--dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2016040915>

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means,
electronic or mechanical including photocopying, recording or by any information storage and retrieval
system, without permission from the publisher in writing.

Printed in the United Kingdom by Short Run Press, Exeter

For a complete list of Oxbow titles, please contact:

UNITED KINGDOM

Oxbow Books
Telephone (01865) 241249, Fax (01865) 794449
Email: oxbow@oxbowbooks.com
www.oxbowbooks.com

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Oxbow Books
Telephone (800) 791-9354, Fax (610) 853-9146
Email: queries@casemateacademic.com
www.casemateacademic.com/oxbow

Oxbow Books is part of the Casemate Group

Front cover: Baynunah Camel site – Abu Dhabi Tourism & Culture Authority (TCA Abu Dhabi) – United Arab Emirates

Contents

VOLUME 1

<i>Contributors</i>	viii
<i>Foreword: Introduction to ASWA</i> by Marjan Mashkour and Mark Beech	xii
<i>Foreword</i> by M. Mohamad Al-Neyadi, Director Al Ain, Abu Dhabi Tourism and Culture Authority (TCA, previously ADACH)	xv
<i>Foreword</i> by Pr Didier Gazagnadou, Cultural Councillor French Embassy, Abu Dhabi	xvii
<i>Foreword in honour of the two pioneering researchers in Archaeozoology</i>	xix
<i>The contribution of Hans-Peter Uerpmann to the Archaeozoology of the Near East</i> Nicolas Conard	xix
<i>The contribution of François Poplin to Archaeozoological studies</i> Christine Lefèvre	xxi

PART 1: PALAEOOLITHIC AND NEOLITHIC SUBSISTENCE IN NORTHERN MESOPOTAMIA, ANATOLIA AND THE IRANIAN PLATEAU

1. Small game and the shifting subsistence patterns from the Upper Paleolithic to the Natufian at Baaz Rockshelter, Syria (<i>Hannes Napierala, Andrew W. Kandel and Nicholas J. Conard</i>)	2
2. Instability and co-development of the exploitation of early domestic sheep and goats: the example of Shillourokambos (Cyprus, Pre-Pottery Neolithic, 10,400–9000 cal BP) (<i>Jean-Denis Vigne, Isabelle Carrère and Jean Guilaine</i>)	10
3. The fauna of Tell Aswad (Damascus, Syria), early Neolithic levels. Comparison with northern and southern Levant sites (<i>Daniel Helmer and Lionel Gourichon</i>)	23
4. Faunal remains from the Middle Neolithic site of Qaleh Rostam (<i>Julie Daujat and Marjan Mashkour</i>)	41
5. Digesting the data: dogs as taphonomic agents at Neolithic Çatalhöyük, Turkey (<i>Nerissa Russell and Katheryn C. Twiss</i>)	59

PART 2: CAUCASIAN ZOOARCHAEOLOGY

6. Carnivora mammals of the Holocene in Armenia (<i>Nina Manaseryan</i>)	76
7. The Upper Palaeolithic fauna from Kalavan 1 (Armenia): preliminary results (<i>Adrian Bălăşescu, Cyril Montoya, Boris Gasparyan, Jérémie Liagre and Christine Chataigner</i>)	88

8. Neolithic subsistence economy in the plain of Ararat: preliminary comparative analysis of the faunal remains from Aratashen and Khaturnarkh-Aknashen (Armenia) 98
(*Emmanuelle Vila, Adrian Bălăşescu, Valentin Radu, Ruben Badalyan and Christine Chataigner*)
9. Animal bones from Aramus, Armenia, excavation 2004 112
(*Hans Christian Küchelmann, Nina Manaseryan and Lilit Mirzoyan*)
10. Analysis of Urartian bone remains from Erebuni, Armenia (2003–2007 excavations): possible use of bones for the manufacture of paint 131
(*Lilit Mirzoyan and Nina Manaseryan*)

PART 3. EXAMPLES OF ANIMAL EXPLOITATION ON URBAN SITES DURING THE BRONZE AGE

11. Animal exploitation from the Bronze Age to the Early Islamic period in Haftavan Tepe (Western Azerbaijan-Iran) 146
(*Fatemeh Azadeh Mohaseb and Marjan Mashkour*)
12. Animal exploitation in the Upper Tigris river valley during the Middle Bronze Age: a first assessment from Hirbemerdon Tepe 171
(*Rémi Berthon*)
13. Animal exploitation at Tell Bderi (Syria) during the Early Bronze period 183
(*Lubna Omar*)
14. Exploitation of fauna at Ras Shamra: case study of the ‘Maison aux Albâtres’, Late Bronze Age, northern Levant 197
(*Jwana Chahoud and Emmanuelle Vila*)
15. How large a sheep, how big a sample? 217
(*Laszlo Bartosiewicz*)
16. New thoughts on the role of the Middle Khabur (Syria) in the urbanisation of northern Mesopotamia during the Early Bronze Age 227
(*Scott J. Rufolo*)

VOLUME 2

PART 4. PASTORALISM, NOMADISM AND MOBILITY

17. Fish and mammal bones in the Abu Dhabi desert: evidence for Bedouin diet during the pre-oil era 250
(*Mark J. Beech, Hanae Sasaki, Tatsuo Sasaki, Walid Yasin Al-Tikriti and Mohammed Amer Al-Neyadi*)
18. Nomads, horses and mobility: an assessment of geographic origins of Iron Age horses found at Tsengel Khairkhan and Baga Turgen Gol (Mongolian Altai) based on oxygen isotope compositions of tooth enamel 262
(*Robin Bendrey, Sébastien Lepetz, Antoine Zazzo, Marie Balasse, Tsagaan Turbat, Pierre Henri Giscard, Dominic Vella, Ganna I. Zaitseva, Konstantin V. Chugunov, Joël Ughetto, Karyne Debue and Jean-Denis Vigne*)

19. Zooarchaeological evidence for pastoralism in the Early Transcaucasian Culture
(*Jennifer J. Piro and Pam J. Crabtree*) 273

PART 5. EXPLOITATION OF ANIMALS IN THE ARABIAN PENINSULA

20. New data on domestic and wild camels (*Camelus dromedarius* and *Camelus* sp.) in Sabaeen and Minaean Yemen
(*F. G. Fedele*) 286
21. The Iron Age site of Muweilah (Sharjah, UAE) and the problems of dromedary domestication
(*Margarethe Uerpmann and Hans-Peter Uerpmann*) 312
22. Fish exploitation at Qal'at Al-Bahrain from the Early Dilmun period (3rd millennium BC) to the Middle Islamic period (13–16th centuries AD): preliminary results
(*Justine Vorenger*) 320
23. Evidence for deep-sea fishing and cultural identity during the Neolithic period at Akab Island, Umm al-Qaiwain, United Arab Emirates
(*Mark J. Beech, Vincent Charpentier and Sophie Méry*) 331

PART 6. RITUALS AND ANIMAL DEPOSITS

24. Elite equids 2: seeing the dead
(*Jill A. Weber*) 340
25. An unusual cattle burial at Dayr al-Barshā (Late Period, Middle Egypt)
(*Veerle Linseele, Wim Van Neer, Harco Willems and Bart Vanthuyne*) 353
26. The Opet Temple courtyard excavations: a new zooarchaeological study for Karnak (Luxor, Egypt)
(*Hervé Monchot and Guillaume Charloux*) 378
27. More animal burials from the Predynastic elite cemetery of Hierakonpolis (Upper Egypt): the 2008 season
(*Wim Van Neer, Veerle Linseele and Renée Friedman*) 388

PART 7. ANIMAL EXPLOITATION DURING ANTIQUITY

28. Animal exploitation during the Classical/Hellenistic period at Tepe Düzen (SW Turkey): preliminary results
(*Bea De Cupere, Wim Van Neer, Kim Vyncke and Hannelore Vanhaverbeke*) 404
29. Une accumulation d'équidés à *Berytus*: approche taxinomique et taphonomique
(*Yasha Hourani and Tarek Oueslati*) 411
30. The animal bone remains from Mar Nicola, a Byzantine–Islamic site at Beit Jala, Palestine
(*Mohammad Al-Zawahra*) 431
31. Faunal analysis of the Castle of Aqaba (Jordan): preliminary results
(*Bea De Cupere, Anton Ervynck, Mircea Udrescu, Wim Van Neer and Wim Wouters*) 443

This pdf of your paper in *Archaeozoology of the Near East 9* belongs to the publishers Oxbow Books and it is their copyright.

As author you are licenced to make up to 50 offprints from it, but beyond that you may not publish it on the World Wide Web until three years from publication (February 2020), unless the site is a limited access intranet (password protected). If you have queries about this please contact the editorial department at Oxbow Books (editorial@oxbowbooks.com).